1 2 3	Michael J. Bidart (State Bar No. 60582) - mbid Reid Ehrlich (State Bar No. 334012) – rehrlich SHERNOFF BIDART ECHEVERRIA LLP 600 S. Indian Hill Boulevard Claremont, California 91711	
4 5 6	Stuart C. Talley (State Bar No. 180374) - stuar KERSHAW TALLEY BARLOW PC 401 Watt Avenue, Ste. 1 Sacramento, California 95864 Gretchen M. Nelson (State Bar No. 112566) -	
7 8 9	Gabriel S. Barenfeld (State Bar No. 224146) - NELSON & FRAENKEL LLP 601 So. Figueroa Street, Suite 2050 Los Angeles, California 90017	gbarenfeld@nflawfirm.com
10 11 12	Gregory L. Bentley (State Bar No. 151147) - g Matthew W. Clark (State Bar No. 273950) – m BENTLEY & MORE LLP 4931 Birch Street Newport Beach, California 92660	
13 14	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
15 16 17	HOLLY WEDDING, et al., Plaintiffs, vs.	Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4936 Case No. BC517444
18 19	CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM, et al,	CLASS ACTION Assigned for all purposes to the Honorable William F. Highberger—Dept. SS10
202122	Defendants.	JUDGMENT ON SECOND CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT BETWEEN PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANT
23		CALIFORNIA PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
2425		
2627		

On, this Court gave final approval to the class settlement between
Plaintiffs Eileen and Richard Lodyga and Holly Wedding (collectively "Plaintiffs"), and
Defendant California Public Employees' Retirement System ("Defendant" or "CalPERS") and
entered its Order Granting Final Approval to Second Class Action Settlement (the "Final
Approval Order"). Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Judgment shall have the
definitions set forth in the Second Settlement Agreement.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Settlement Class is defined as any individual who was a California citizen in February 2013, and who purchased LTC1 and LTC2 policies that included the automatic inflation protection benefit and were subjected to the Challenged Increase. Policyholders who converted their policies to LTC3 policies prior to the implementation of the Challenged Increase are not included in the Settlement Class, even if the conversion occurred after the Challenged Increase was approved in October 2012. The Settlement Class does not include those individuals who opted out of the Class certified by the Court on January 28, 2016.
- 2. 274 members of the Settlement Class timely requested to be excluded from the Settlement Class prior to the deadline on June 6, 2023. A list of members who requested exclusion is attached as Exhibit 1 hereto.
- 3. Plaintiffs Eileen and Richard Lodyga, Holly Wedding and all Participating
 Settlement Class Members shall take from their complaint as to CalPERS only the relief set forth
 in: (a) Second Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement"), a
 copy of which is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Gretchen M. Nelson, dated February
 27, 2023; and (b) the Final Approval Order, filed on ________.
- 4. This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above-captioned action as against CalPERS only, and is intended to be immediately appealable, including as to any members of the Class certified by the Court's Order, dated January 28, 2016 whose claims were dismissed as a result of the Court's Statement of Decision, dated July 27, 2020.

1	5. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, California Code of Civil Procedure Section
2	664.4 and Rule 3.769(h) of the California Rules of Court, this Court retains jurisdiction over the
3	parties to the Settlement solely to enforce the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Final
4	Approval Order, and this Judgment.
5	Dated:
5	Hon. William F. Highberger Judge of the Superior Court
7 8	
9	
)	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
)	
)	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	